



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignita 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/966,832	09/28/2001	Ellen Golds	498-192	5422	
75	90 08/22/2003	•			
Daniel A. Scola, Jr.			EXAMINER		
HOFFMANN & BARON, LLP 6900 Jericho Turnpike			WOO, JUI	WOO, JULIAN W	
Syosset, NY 1	1/91		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3731		
			DATE MAILED: 08/22/2003	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			NK		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7		
Office Action Summer	09/966,832	GOLDS, ELLEN			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Julian W. Woo	3731			
Period for Reply	oears on the cover sneet (with the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may or y within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC or, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24.	<u> January 2002</u> .				
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allows			s		
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	J.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
4)⊠ Claim(s) 33-41 is/are pending in the application	on.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>33-41</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers	_	•			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		the Evenines			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		, , ,			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	_ , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	cooppion of the cooppion			
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest			on).		
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 					
Attachment(s)	-				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3	5) 🔲 Notice o	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/966,832

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 34 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is not certain what is meant by "conventional textile manufacturing processes," since any number of manufacturing processes can be deemed to be "conventional."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 4. Claims 33-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Martin et al. (6,042,605). Martin et al. disclose, in figures 1-11 and in col. 6, line 47 to

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/966,832

Art Unit: 3731

Page 3

col. 7, line 54; col. 8, lines 65-67, and in col. 14, lines 4-6, the invention substantially as claimed. Martin et al. disclose an endoluminal prosthesis with an elongate, ePTFE tubular member (4), a structural support member (6); and an elongate, PTFE securement member (8) that is a flat, thin suture helically arranged with respect to the longitudinal axis of the tubular member. The securement member secures the structural support member to the exterior surface of the tubular member, and the securement member is adhered to the tubular member, non-continuously or continuously (see col. 7, lines 44-54). However, Martin et al. do not disclose a width of the securement member to be less than .60 mm (Martin et al. do disclose a width of .635 mm). Nevertheless, it would have been a matter of design choice to size a securement member, so that it has a width that is less than .60 mm. As disclosed by Martin et al. in col. 7, lines 14-19, a width of the securement member can be chosen according the desired properties of the prosthesis (radial stiffness, burst pressure, and porosity).

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Mano et al. (Re.31,618), Popadiuk et al. (5,556,426), and McIntyre et al. (5,976,192) teach prostheses with tubular members and securement members.

Application/Control Number: 09/966,832

Art Unit: 3731

Page 4

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julian W. Woo whose telephone number is (703) 308-0421. The examiner can normally be reached Mon.-Fri., 7:00 AM to 3:00 PM Eastern Time, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael J. Milano can be reached at (703) 308-2496.

General inquiries relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist at (703) 308-0858. The official FAX number is (703) 872-9302.

Julian W. Woo Primary Examiner

Juhan W. Woo

August 20, 2003